



Meeting: **Overview and Scrutiny Committee**
Date: **27 March 2018**
Time: **7.00 pm**
Place: **Council Chamber - Civic Centre, Folkestone**

To: **All members of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee**

The committee will consider the matters, listed below, at the date, time and place shown above. The meeting will be open to the press and public.

Members of the committee, who wish to have information on any matter arising on the agenda, which is not fully covered in these papers, are requested to give notice, prior to the meeting, to the Chairman or appropriate officer.

6. **Princes Parade Pay and Display Parking (Pages 3 - 36)**

This report summarises the actions taken by officers following the Cabinet Member decision to proceed with the scheme. It notes public concern over the positioning of the parking machines and considers options and external health and safety advice to mitigate and minimise any potential risk.

*Explanations as to different levels of interest

(a) A member with a disclosable pecuniary interest (DPI) must declare the nature as well as the existence of any such interest and the agenda item(s) to which it relates must be stated. A member who declares a DPI in relation to any item must leave the meeting for that item (unless a relevant dispensation has been granted).

(b) A member with an other significant interest (OSI) under the local code of conduct relating to items on this agenda must declare the nature as well as the existence of any such interest and the agenda item(s) to which it relates must be stated. A member who declares an OSI in relation to any item will need to remove him/herself to the public gallery before the debate and not vote on that item (unless a relevant dispensation has been granted). However, prior to leaving, the member may address the meeting in the same way that a member of the public may do so.

(c) Members may make voluntary announcements of other interests which are not required to be disclosed under (a) and (b). These are announcements made for transparency reasons alone, such as:

- membership of outside bodies that have made representations on agenda items, or
- where a member knows a person involved, but does not have a close association with that person, or
- where an item would affect the well-being of a member, relative, close associate, employer, etc. but not his/her financial position.

Voluntary announcements do not prevent the member from participating or voting on the relevant item

Queries about the agenda? Need a different format?

Contact Sue Lewis – Tel: 01303 853265
Email: committee@shepway.gov.uk or download from our website
www.shepway.gov.uk

This page is intentionally left blank

This Report will be made public on 26 March 2018

Folkestone

Hythe & Romney Marsh
Shepway District Council



Report Number

C/17/94

To: Cabinet
Date: 28 March 2018
Status: Non-Key Decision
Head of Service: Andy Blaszkowicz, Head of Commercial and Technical Services
Cabinet Member: Councillor A. Berry, Cabinet Member for Transport and Commercial

SUBJECT: Parking Scheme update for Princes Parade, Hythe.

SUMMARY: This report summarises the actions taken by officers following the Cabinet Member decision to proceed with the scheme. It notes public concern over the positioning of the parking machines and considers options and external health and safety advice to mitigate and minimise any potential risk.

REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:

Cabinet is asked to agree the recommendations set out below because:

- a) There has been public concern over the location of the parking meters and officers have considered the perceived risk and considered options to minimise the risks prior to the scheme opening.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

- a) To receive and note Report C/17/94.
- b) That members consider the options put forward and instruct Officers to proceed with Option 3. Relocate the parking machines to the south side promenade (other side of seawall), cut 4 new access points in seawall to allow better access to the promenade and construct perspex shrouds to protect parking machines from sea spray, salt and the weather.

1. BACKGROUND

- 1.1 Officer recommendation in the July Cabinet report was for parking to be positioned on the north side of the road. The Cabinet report also clearly stated that the machines needed to be located on the North side pavement as they cannot be installed on the south-side seawall.
- 1.2 On 19th July 2017 Cabinet considered report C/17/19, Parking Proposals for Princes Parade, Hythe and Sandgate Esplanade. Cabinet resolved that a statutory consultation be undertaken for pay and display spaces along the south side (seaward side) of Princes Parade.
- 1.3 66 responses to the consultation were submitted and considered. Only one comment was submitted raising a concern about parking on the south side and the speed limit of the road. No other Health & Safety matters were raised about the proposed scheme at that point.
- 1.4 The scheme was designed in accordance with the Road Traffic Act 1984, the TRO was submitted to KCC. SDC Cabinet Member for Transport and Commercial, after discussions with Officers and other Cabinet Members, decided to progress with scheme. The TRO was sealed by KCC 8th January 2018 authorising us to progress the scheme.
- 1.5 In March 2018 installation of lines, signs and machines commenced. The parking bays were marked on the south side (seaward side) and the parking machines were installed on the north side pavement. Since the start of visible works, concerns have been raised by members of the public concerning the health and safety implications of having to cross the road to buy a ticket.
- 1.6 Following the concerns raised, Officers have taken advice and have considered options to minimise and mitigate any potential risk.

2. SAFETY MEASURES ALREADY IMPLEMENTED

- 2.1 Minimum width of regulated bay is 1.8m. We have marked the bays at 2.1m to allow extra room for exiting vehicles.
- 2.2 We have approached KCC about reducing the speed limit for the road from 40mph to 30mph twice now. These requests have been declined. The SDC Transportation Manager will continue to pursue this.
- 2.3 All access points to the beach through the seawall have a 10m gap left where no parking is permitted. These gaps will provide areas where people can safely access the beach.
- 2.4 The Council is heavily promoting parking payment by phone. Signs are being installed along the length of the Parade so people can see the contact details without exiting their vehicles.

3. OPTIONS

3.1 There are three options to consider moving forward, these are:

1. Leave the scheme as it is currently, parking bays on south side, parking machines on North side pavement.
2. Move the parking bays to the north side where the parking machines are currently located.
3. Relocate the parking machines to the south side promenade (other side of seawall), cut 4 new access points in seawall to allow better access to promenade and construct Perspex shrouds to protect parking machines from sea spray / salt / weather.

3.2 Officers have commissioned independent health and safety advice to consider the implications of each option in detail. (See report attached as Appendix 1).

3.3 Officers have considered all of the cost implications which are included in the report.

4. SUMMARY OF OPTIONS

4.1 Option1: Leave the scheme as it is currently, parking bays on south side, parking machines on North side pavement. Officers will continue to pursue KCC to have the speed limit reduced to 30mph. There are no further cost implications to leaving the scheme as it currently is. The health and safety implications of option 1 are detailed in the report attached as appendix 1.

4.2 Option 2: Move the parking bays to the north side where the parking machines are currently located. Officers will continue to pursue KCC to have the speed limit reduced to 30mph. There will be an additional cost of £11k to line the parking bays on the north side and remove the bays on the south side. The health and safety implications of option 2 are detailed in the report attached as appendix 1.

4.3 Option 3: Relocate the parking machines to the south side promenade (other side of seawall), cut 4 new access points in seawall to allow better access to promenade and construct perspex shrouds to protect parking machines from sea spray / salt / weather. Officers will continue to pursue KCC to have the speed limit reduced to 30mph. There will be an additional cost of around £10k plus internal labour to relocate the machines, purchase the perspex shrouds, cut the new access points in the seawall and make minor amendments to the signs. The health and safety implications of option 3 are detailed in the report attached as appendix 1.

5. SUMMARY OF HEALTH AND SAFETY REPORT

5.1 The external consultants considered the road safety issues and identified hazards for each of the options. The report also considered personal injury collision history data for the 36 month period ending 30/11/2017. There were 5 personal injury collisions during this period none of which involved pedestrians.

- 5.1 The external report by Invvu Construction Consultants and Bruce Woodhams Associates concludes that Option 3 is the most suitable arrangement.
- 5.2 The report concludes *'Having carefully considered the evidence that has been made available option 3 seems to have the greatest merit. Much of the existing situation remains unchanged and it appears that the visiting public is able to cope safely with this situation. The repositioning of the "pay-and display" ticket machines to the beach side of the carriageway avoids the need for any increase in pedestrian crossing movements across Princes Parade and the proposed increase in the number of gaps in the sea wall will give more opportunities for pedestrians to more easily access the promenade and the beach area with all the attendant safety benefits of not being exposed to the live traffic for longer than necessary.'*

6. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

- 6.1 Any additional costs incurred at this stage will be funded from income generated by the scheme.

7.0 CONCLUSIONS AND SUMMARY

- 7.1 Having looked at the three available options and considered the independent health and safety advice, option 3 of moving the pay and display machines to the south side promenade and cutting 4 new access points in the seawall is the safest option, minimising any potential risk to the public.
- 7.2 It is advised that if option 3 is approved by Cabinet the works will take approximately three weeks to complete so the scheme can open on 1st May 2018.

8. RISK MANAGEMENT ISSUES

- 8.1 The risks associated with this scheme were considered in the previous Cabinet report C/17/19. Health and safety risks are considered in consultant's report attached at Appendix 1.

9. LEGAL/FINANCIAL AND OTHER CONTROLS/POLICY MATTERS

9.1 Legal Officer's Comments (DK)

Subject to the Council complying with the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 and to relevant SDC officers liaising with Kent CC upon the proposals, there are no legal implications arising directly out of this report.

9.2 Finance Officer's Comments (SB)

All the financial implications are covered in the body of the report. Additional funding required to implement option 3 will be met from income generated by the scheme.

9.3 Diversities and Equalities Implications (SS)

There are no negative implications arising from this report, particularly in relation to holders of disabled badges. The existing concessions in car parks will continue to apply.

10. CONTACT OFFICERS AND BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS

Councillors with any questions arising out of this report should contact the following officer prior to the meeting

Officer: Andy Blaszkowicz, Head of Commercial and Technical Services
Telephone: 01303 853684
E-mail: Andy.blaszkowicz@shepway.gov.uk

The following background documents have been relied upon in the preparation of this report:

None

Appendices:

Appendix 1 – Road Safety Assessment, Princes Parade, Hythe.

This page is intentionally left blank

Road Safety Assessment Princes Parade, Hythe

Document reference: INVVU/273755/RSA/001

Revision: P1

Issued: 26/03/2018



Rev	Date	Description and Purpose of Issue	Prepared	Reviewed	Approved
P1	26/03/18	Preliminary Issue	BWA	P Johnson	



Princes Parade, Hythe

Overview:

Invvu Construction Consultants were employed by Amey on the 15th March 2018 to complete a Road Safety Assessment for Princes Parade, Hythe.

Invvu Construction Consultants engaged Bruce Woodhams Associates to complete the assessment.

Following the installation of “pay-and-display” ticket machines, we were requested to consider appropriate options for the site, before the machines were commissioned and brought into operation.

The three options are loosely to;

1. Leave as it is.
2. Move parking bays to the north side of the road so they are adjacent the ticket machines.
3. Relocate machines to the promenade and create a few more openings in the sea wall/parapet.

The report was developed as a Road Safety Assessment of the options. The main reason for this is that a Road Safety Audit is not a device for comparing options. Therefore standalone RS1, 2 and 3 are not appropriate approaches. A Road Safety Assessment therefore does not necessarily follow HD 19/15 to the letter.

Timescales on the scheme are extremely tight and therefore a Road Safety Assessment allowed a prompt review of proposals following site visit completed on Tuesday 20th March 2018. The Site Visit was completed by Bruce Woodhams of Bruce Woodhams Associates and Phil Johnson of Invvu construction consultants

The safety implications, risks and mitigation have been considered for each of the options.



Princes Parade, Hythe

Conclusion Overview:

Invvu Construction Consultants share the view with Bruce Woodhams Associates that Option 3 is the most suitable arrangement. This finds that the repositioning of the "pay-and-display" ticket machines to the beach side of the carriageway and the proposed increase in the number of gaps in the sea wall to be the most suitable outcome.

Machines may be safely installed on the promenade and half turned so that the machine screens face away from the sea.

The Road Safety Assessment attached should be read in its entirety.



Appendices:

- A) Road Safety Assessment
- B) Site Plans
- C) Print Crash Reports and Plots



A) Road Safety Assessment



Princes Parade HYTHE



Proposed Parking Layout Options

Road Safety Assessment



Bruce Woodhams Associates

Princes Parade HYTHE

Proposed Parking Layout Options

Road Safety Assessment

**24 March 2018
180.001**

**Issue number: 01
Status: Final**

Bruce Woodhams Associates Ltd
73 Bridger Way, Crowborough, East Sussex. TN6 2XD
Tel: 01892 668964 e-mail: bw.woodhams@tiscali.co.uk

CONTENTS

Page No.

1	INTRODUCTION	2
2	HISTORICAL SITUATION	2
3	PROPOSED SCHEME (Option 1)	3
4	OTHER OPTIONS TO BE CONSIDERED	4
5	IDENTIFIED HAZARDS FOR EACH OPTION	5
6	PERSONAL INJURY COLLISION HISTORY	6
7	DISCUSSION OF ROAD SAFETY ISSUES FOR EACH OPTION	6
8	CONCLUSION	8

APPENDIX

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 Shepway Council have made a decision for reasons given in their Statement of Reasons to make a charge for on-street car parking along the entire length of Princes Parade Hythe. Having advertised the required traffic management orders, it was intended to make the order and bring it into operation on 3 April 2018.
- 1.2 The proposals included "at any time" waiting restrictions along the entire length of Princes Parade on the north side with "paid-for" parking bays on the south side adjacent to the promenade. Payment for the parking charges can be made in one of two ways either using the "pay-by-phone" system or by purchasing a ticket from a "pay-and-display" ticket machine.
- 1.3 To this end work started on installing the signs and equipment required and according to information gleaned from local press articles on-line, there was some strong opposition to the perceived road safety of the proposals.
- 1.4 Installation work appears to have ceased temporarily, the works being substantially complete whilst further advice is sought.
- 1.5 This report considers the various road safety related issues of the options put forward and concludes with advice as to a way forward.

2. HISTORICAL SITUATION

- 2.1 Princes Parade is approximately 2 km long, separated from a promenade and the beach on its south side by a low sea wall. It is a single carriageway road approximately 7.3 metres wide with a single traffic lane in each direction and has a 1.8 metre wide footway on its north side.
- 2.2 For the majority of its length it is subject to a 40 mph speed limit and a 6' 6" width restriction (according to the signs) but there is no physical feature to enforce this; this section is also unlit.
- 2.3 At the western end of Princes Parade to the front of the Hythe Imperial Hotel there is a short section which has street lighting, this is also subject to a 30 mph speed limit.
- 2.4 At either end of Princes Parade there are small "pay-and-display" off-street car parks and another one on the northside towards the eastern end of Princes Parade for the Royal Military Canal and the Canoe Club.
- 2.5 Parking on the north side of Princes Parade has been controlled by an "at any time" waiting restriction for at least ten years. Unrestricted parking has been permitted on the southside adjacent to the low sea wall; worded "KEEP CLEAR" markings are provided across the gaps in this wall to maintain clear access.

- 2.6 The promenade which is approximately 4.5 metres wide is a shared cycle/footway and this too has been used as such for at least 10 years.
- 2.7 Consequently, these features have attracted holiday makers and day trippers to the beach adjacent to Princes Parade and they have availed themselves of the easy car parking nearby; which during the summer months and at holiday times completely fills its length.
- 2.8 It is understood that last year, parking bay road markings were added along the south side of the road abutting the low sea wall.

3. PROPOSED SCHEME (Option 1)

- 3.1 The current proposal is to create a controlled parking zone which includes the entire length of Princes Parade and imposes parking charges in the marked parking bays between the 1 April and the 30 September (both dates inclusive) between 8am and 6pm Sunday to Saturday.
- 3.2 "Pay-and-display" machines have been installed at the back of the footway on the north side of the Princes Parade, from which drivers may obtain a ticket to pay for their chosen length of stay, and the various tariffs are displayed on these machines.
- 3.3 According to the signs that have been installed, there is an option to "pay-by-phone", however it is unclear from the articles in the draft Traffic Management Order whether this is permitted; also, there are no signs showing the tariffs and any convenience payments that may be associated with this method of payment.



- 3.4 From items in the on-line pages of the local press, it is understood that this proposal has been criticised on road safety grounds because of the need for someone to cross the busy road from the parked vehicle to get a ticket from the "pay-and-display" ticket machines and then to cross back to place the ticket in the vehicle.



4. OTHER OPTIONS TO BE CONSIDERED

- 4.1 Two other options have been suggested for evaluation:

4.1.1 Option 2 - To move the parking bays to the northside side of the road and to presumably move the "at any time" waiting restriction to the south side of Princes Parade adjacent to the sea wall and promenade.

4.1.2 Option 3 - To keep the parking restrictions as outline in option 1 but relocate the "pay-and-display" machines to the to the south side of the road and create a few more openings in the sea wall.

5. IDENTIFIED HAZARDS FOR EACH OPTION

5.1 The following hazards have been identified for Option 1

5.1.1 The need to cross a busy 40 mph road to get to and from the "pay-and-display" machines.

5.1.2 Discharging passengers from parked vehicles into the live carriageway.

5.1.3 Having to walk some distance, often in excess of 100 metres, possibly with young children, wheel chairs and pushchairs in the live traffic lane to get to a gap in the sea wall to access the promenade and beach.

5.1.4 Difficulty in reading the waiting restriction plate without standing in the live traffic lane, as the sign face is not easily seen from the promenade.

5.2 The following hazards have been identified for Option 2

5.2.1 The need for all visitors arriving by car and using the parking bay to cross a busy 40 mph road to get to the promenade and beach and back when returning to their vehicle.

5.2.2 Being tempted to take a short cut to the beach by clambering over the sea wall from the live traffic lane, risks falling back from the wall and into the path of live traffic.

5.2.3 Live traffic passing very close to pedestrians when waiting to cross Princes Parade from a gap in the sea wall with the potential for very small children to be masked by the sea wall from an approaching driver's view.

5.2.4 Ice Cream and Food Vendors would not be able to operate as it is understood they currently do, because they cannot park their vehicles adjacent to the sea wall. Therefore, customers will be required to cross the busy road to access these facilities.

5.3 The following hazards have been identified for Option 3

5.3.1 Discharging passengers from parked vehicles into the live carriageway.

5.3.2 Having to walk some distance, possibly with young children, wheel chairs and pushchairs in the live traffic lane to get to a gap in the sea wall to access the promenade and beach, albeit these will be closer than in option 1.

5.3.3 Difficulty in reading the waiting restriction plate without standing in the live traffic lane as the sign face is not easily seen from the promenade.

6. PERSONAL INJURY COLLISION HISTORY

6.1 Personal injury collision data for the entire length of Princes Parade for the latest 36 months period ended 30 November 2017 (**this date needs to be checked and corrected as necessary**) has been analysed.

6.1.1 During this period there were five personal injury collisions (1 serious and 4 slight) recorded by the Police. These collisions resulted in a total of 8 casualties.

6.1.2 Of the collisions, four occurred at weekends, of which three occurred in July.

6.1.3 There were three collisions that occurred in the evening period, one during the night and the other during the late morning.

6.1.4 There were no personal injury collisions involving pedestrians.

6.1.5 Only one of the five personal injury collisions appear to have involved any parked vehicles, and in that incident the rider of the powered two wheeler claims to have been dazzled by the lights of an approaching vehicle.

6.1.6 All the collisions happened on a dry road surface and there was not an unusually high incidence of collisions happening in the dark.

6.1.7 There were no collisions clusters, and there do not appear to be any common factors evident in the data provided (which did not include contributory factors).

6.2 There is no evidence from the personal injury collision data that the existing layout of the parking bay and traffic lanes has resulted in any pedestrians being injured and therefore it is concluded that visitors and users of the beach cope safely with this arrangement.

7. DISCUSSION OF ROAD SAFETY ISSUES FOR EACH OPTION

7.1 Option 1

7.1.1 It would be impractical to attempt to provide crossing facilities for pedestrians to use to cross Princes Parade to access the "pay-and-display" ticket machines, because the speed limit of the road would suggest that zebra crossings would not be appropriate and would therefore need to be puffin type crossings. The cost of providing a sufficient number of these, probably at least ten, and

maintaining them would be prohibitively high and unjustifiable. It would also be very difficult to locate them satisfactorily because the pedestrian crossing desire lines are extremely varied and would not be focussed at any given locations.

7.1.2 In any event, there is also an expected level of pedestrian personal injury collisions associated with each puffin crossing and providing a number of them will inevitably result in a worse personal injury collision history for this route than is currently the case.

7.1.3 The issues of getting in and out of parked vehicles and of walking next to the live traffic lane to get to and from the promenade and beach whilst considered intrinsically hazardous, have not resulted in any personal injury collisions.

7.1.4 The problem with being able to read the sign showing the parking bay restriction information, could be overcome by providing additional signs that are readable from the promenade.

7.1.5 Ice cream vans and food vendors can operate as they do at present.

7.2 Option 2

7.2.1 It would be impractical to attempt to provide crossing facilities for pedestrians to use to cross Princes Parade to access the "pay-and-display" ticket machines, because the speed limit of the road would suggest that zebra crossings would not be appropriate and would therefore need to be puffin type crossings. The cost of providing a sufficient number of these, probably at least ten, and maintaining them would be prohibitively high and unjustifiable. It would also be very difficult to locate them satisfactorily because the pedestrian crossing desire lines are extremely varied and would not be focussed at any given locations.

7.2.2 In any event there is also an expected level of pedestrian personal injury collisions associated with each puffin crossing and providing a number of them will inevitably result in a worse personal injury collision history for this route than is currently the case.

7.2.3 The issues of getting in and out of parked vehicles that exists with option 2 and 3 does not exist to the same extent because there is a footway adjacent to the parking bays with this option. This also means that there is no need to walk in the live traffic lane to get to the access points through the sea wall apart from the actually crossing of the carriageway.

7.2.4 It would not be possible for ice cream vans and food vendors to operate as they

do at present, unless they are allowed access to the promenade to specifically designated locations. On carriageway locations adjacent to the sea wall would require additional protection measures which are also likely to mean that the parking bay on the northside of the carriageway would have to be curtailed so as to maintain safe two-way traffic flow.

7.3 Option 3

7.3.1 The issues of getting in and out of parked vehicles and of walking next to the live traffic lane to get to the promenade and beach whilst considered intrinsically hazardous has not resulted in any personal injury collisions.

7.3.2 The proposed additional gaps in the sea wall will reduce the distance that has to be walked adjacent to or in the live traffic lane thereby reducing the exposure of pedestrians of all age groups to this hazard

7.3.3 The problem with being able to read the sign showing the parking bay restriction information, could be overcome by providing additional signs that are readable from the promenade.

7.3.4 Ice cream vans and food vendors can operate as they do at present.

8. CONCLUSION

Having carefully considered the evidence that has been made available option 3 seems to have the greatest merit. Much of the existing situation remains unchanged and it appears that the visiting public is able to cope safely with this situation. The repositioning of the "pay-and-display" ticket machines to the beach side of the carriageway avoids the need for any increase in pedestrian crossing movements across Princes Parade and the proposed increase in the number of gaps in the sea wall will give more opportunities for pedestrians to more easily access the promenade and the beach area with all the attendant safety benefits of not being exposed to the live traffic for longer than necessary.



APPENDIX

LIST OF DRAWINGS AND DOCUMENTS EXAMINED IN THIS ASSESSMENT:

Drawings

Drawing No.	Scale	Title
<i>Drawings produced by:</i> Shepway District Council		
<i>Unnumbered</i>	NTS	Princes Parade - Pay and Display
<i>01</i>	NTS	Princes Parade Parking Parking Meter Locations
<i>22-ATGEN-43-GA-HA1-031 rev 2</i>	1:500 @A1	22-TIDWORTH-ATEGEN Infrastructure Road Markings Sheet 1 of 1

Documents


Documents	Date
<i>Document produced by:</i> Shepway District Council	
D-Print Crash Reports and Plots	15 March 2018

Various documents on regarding the advertised Traffic Management Order for the Princes Parade CPZ




B) Site Plans

Princes Parade - Pay and Display



Contains Ordnance Survey data
© Crown copyright and database right
Shepway District Council 100019677 - 2017




Folkestone
Hythe & Romney Marsh
Shepway District Council




N




Not to scale



WEST



MIDDLE



EAST

- Notes**
1. Do not scale
 2. Dimensions are in millimetres unless stated otherwise
- Current Parking Meter location
 - New Parking Meter location

Page 28

REV	DESCRIPTION	DATE	BY	CHKD

Folkestone
 Folkestone & Romney Marsh
 Shepway District Council

Shepway District Council
 Land & Property,
 Civic Centre, Castle Hill Avenue
 Folkestone, Kent, CT20 2QY
 Tel: 01303 853000
 Fax: 01303 853333

Project
Princess Parade Parking

Drawing Title
Parking Meter Locations

ORIGINAL DRAWING SIZE A1

Drawn: RK	Check: AC	Date: 2/03/2018
Scale: NTS	Drawing Number: 01	Rev:



C) Print Crash Reports and Plots

Date: 15-March-2018

Time: 10:48:11

Title: **Princes Parade - 3 year crashes (1)**

Requested output: **D - Print Crash Report**

Date: 15-March-2018

There were 2 reported crashes resulting in injury

D-PRINT CRASH REPORT

15-Mar-2018

10:48:11

Princes Parade - 3 year crashes (1)

No	Location	Severity	Date	Day	Time	Street Lighting	Road Surface	Weather	Pedestrian Direction	Factors	Involved
1	Road No F1423 Grid 618233E Section 142 Ref 134727N	SLIGHT	01/12/2014	2	17:46	DRK NSL	Dry	Fine			
Princes Parade, by Hythe Bus Shelter, Folkestone, Kent									Shepway		
V1 was Travelling Towards Hythe, as Passing Parked Vehicles on It's Near-Side, Oncoming Vehicle Dazzled Driver. V1 Moved Clipping Wing Mirror of V2 and Hitting Front Off-Side of V3.							Veh1, car, NE -> SW Veh2, car, NE -> SW Veh3, car, NE -> SW			Casualties 1 Vehicles 3	
2	Road No A259 Grid 618978E Section 231 Ref 134895N	SLIGHT	04/01/2015	1	10:40	L	Dry	Fine		R.TURN	M/C
Princes Parade 10 Metres South of A259 Seabrook Road, Hythe, Kent									Shepway		
V2 Has Pulled into Princes Parade from the A259 from the Folkestone Direction. V1 Has Entered Princes Parade Also but from the Hythe Direction. V2 Has Indicated to Enter the Garage and Started to Perform the Manoeuvre to Enter, V1 Has Not Seen the Indicator and Hit the Back of V2.							Veh1, m/cycle <= 50cc, NE -> SW Veh2, car, NE -> SW			Casualties 2 Vehicles 2	

Page 31

Key Involved

PED Pedestrian
HGV Heavy Goods Vehicle
GV Goods Vehicle
M/C Motor Cycle
P/C Pedal Cycle
PSV Bus/Coach

Street Lighting

L Daylight


STL Street Lights
USL Street Lights Unlit
NSL No Street Lights
STU Street Lights Unknown

FACTORS

+VE Positive Breath Test
R.TURN Right Turn Manoeuvre
O/TAKE Overtaking Manoeuvre
S.VEH Single Vehicle

Special Conditions

ATS OUT Traffic Lights Not Working
ATS DEF Traffic Lights Defective
SIGNS Road Signs Defective or Obscured
RD WRKS Road Works
Surface Road Surface Defective



Date: 15-March-2018

Time: 10:55:21

Title: **Princes Parade - 3 year crashes (2)**

Requested output: **D - Print Crash Report**

Date: 15-March-2018

There were 3 reported crashes resulting in injury

D-PRINT CRASH REPORT

15-Mar-2018

10:55:21

Princes Parade - 3 year crashes (2)

No	Location	Severity	Date	Day	Time	Street Lighting	Road Surface	Weather	Pedestrian Direction	Factors	Involved
1	Road No F1423 Grid 617091E Section 142 Ref 134430N	SLIGHT	04/07/2015	7	18:35	L	Dry	Fine			M/C
Princes Parade, Hythe, Kent (Mapped to 617090 / 134400)									Shepway		
V1 was Parked by the Roadside, Driver of the Car Performed a U-Turn, as They Began to Reverse Back They Saw a Moped Sliding Towards Their Car, the Moped then Struck the Passenger Side of the Car.							Veh1, car, SW -> NE Veh2, m/cycle <= 50cc, SW -> NE			Casualties 1 Vehicles 2	
2	Road No F1423 Grid 617020E Section 142 Ref 134414N	SERIOUS	04/07/2015	7	00:38	DRK NSL	Dry	Fine			
Princess Parade, Hythe, Kent (Mapped to 617020 / 134410)									Shepway		
V1 Has Made Contact with V2. V1 Has Substantial Front Damage. V2 Has Substantial Rear Damage							Veh1, car, W -> E Veh2, car, W -> E			Casualties 3 Vehicles 2	
3	Road No U Grid 617325E Section Ref 134497N	SLIGHT	23/07/2016	7	19:18	L	Dry	Fine		R.TURN	M/C
PRINCES PARADE AT LAYBY NEXT TO CANNONGATE BRIDGE FOOTPATH (MAPPED TO DESCRIPTION)									Shepway		
V 2 was stationary indicating to turn right off Princes Parade into a Parking area. As V2 did so V1 collided with V2 on its offside. Causing minor damage. V1 rider suffered minor injuries and taken to WHH.							Veh1, m/cycle 125 - 500cc, E -> W Veh2, car, E -> W			Casualties 1 Vehicles 2	

Page 34

Key Involved

PED Pedestrian
HGV Heavy Goods Vehicle
GV Goods Vehicle
M/C Motor Cycle
P/C Pedal Cycle
PSV Bus/Coach

Street Lighting

L Daylight


STL Street Lights
USL Street Lights Unlit
NSL No Street Lights
STU Street Lights Unknown

FACTORS

+VE Positive Breath Test
R.TURN Right Turn Manoeuvre
O/TAKE Overtaking Manoeuvre
S.VEH Single Vehicle

Special Conditions

ATS OUT Traffic Lights Not Working
ATS DEF Traffic Lights Defective
SIGNS Road Signs Defective or Obscured
RD WRKS Road Works
Surface Road Surface Defective



This page is intentionally left blank